(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S)No. 2858 of 2007

Petitioner	Amrit Xalco, S/o. Habil Xalxo, aged about 38 years, working as L.D.T. Government Primary School, Nayadih (Kudekela), Block Dharamjaigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

Versus

Respondents	1) State of C.G. through Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, CG.
	2) Asst. Commissioner, Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.
	3) Commissioner, Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present:

Shri Kunal Das, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri Amit Kumar Sharma, counsel for the petitioner
Shri V.R. Tiwari, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 9th May, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the fact and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another { 2006 (II) MPJR -CG 96}**, which has been disposed of vide order dated 3-4-2006. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not controvert the said submission.

(38)

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S) No. 4139 of 2005 **(Gopesh Kumar Verma Vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another)** and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute i.e., B.T.I., has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S) No. 4130 of 2005 **(Gopesh Kumar Verms Vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another (supra).**

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioner has acquired D.Ed. Examination Certificate on his own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether he has been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Raju